



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/297,406	04/29/99	HEILAND		С	KKF1P005	
Г		PM82/0504	コ	EXAMINER		
HICKMAN STEPHENS & COLEMAN				BRITTAIN,J		
PO BOX 52037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUM	BER
PALO ALTO CA 94303-0746		6		3626		4
				05/04/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/297,406

Applicant(s)

03/20/

Group Art Unit

Examiner

James R. Brittain

up Art Unit 3626

Heiland

⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 29, 1999	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever silure to respond within the period for response will cause the stensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	nor.
	101.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri ☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop	•
☐ received.	sies of the phonty documents have been
☐ received.	al Number)
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	·
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	per No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	ГО-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DEELCE ACTION	I ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/297,406

Art Unit: 3626

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I comprising figures 1a-1c;

Species II comprising figures 2a-2c;

Species III comprising figures 3a-3c;

Species IV comprising figures 4a-4c;

Species V comprising figure 5;

Species VI comprising figures 6a, 6b, 6d (the four figures of figure 6 numbered a-d, left to right);

Species VII comprising figure 6c;

Species VIII comprising figure 7b; and

Species IX comprising figure 8.

The co-extruded gripping or clamping points will be examined with the elected species since it is a generic feature.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

Application/Control Number: 09/297,406

Art Unit: 3626

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I: Claims 1, 2, 8-10, 12, 19, 30;

Species II: Claims 1, 2, 4, 8-10, 12, 15, 19, 22, 27, 30;

Species III: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-10, 12, 15, 19, 22, 23, 27, 30;

Species IV: Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 12, 18, 19, 24, 25;

Species V: Claims 1-3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 19-21, 26, 31;

Species VI: Claims 1-5, 8-12, 14-17, 19-23, 26-31;

Species VII: Claims 1-4, 8-12, 14-17, 19-22, 26-31;

Species VIII: Claims 1-3, 8, 9, 11-14, 19-21, 26, 31, 32; and

Species IX: Claims 1-3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 19-21, 26, 31.

Note that the preliminary amendment of April 29, 1999 has two claims numbered 28. The second claim numbered 28 has been renumbered 29 and submitted claims 29-31 renumbered 30-32.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, and 19 are generic to all species.

Application/Control Number: 09/297,406 Page 4

Art Unit: 3626

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons:

The individual species lack the same or corresponding special technical features because each

utilizes a different combination of junctures between clamping halves, structures providing the

clamping bias, operators to force the jaws together or structure providing the capability to form a

plurality of integral clips.

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. L. Keith Stephens on May 1, 2000 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (703) 308-2222.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/297,406

Art Unit: 3626

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT 3.

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons:

The individual species lack the same or corresponding special technical features because each

utilizes a different combination of junctures between clamping halves, structures providing the

clamping bias, operators to force the jaws together or structure providing the capability to form a

plurality of integral clips.

A telephone call was made to Mr. L. Keith Stephens on May 1, 2000 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5.

should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (703) 308-2222.

Primary Examiner

jrb

May 4, 2000